

This article was downloaded by:

On: 24 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597273>

### Effect of Diluent on the Structure of the Adsorption Layer in Systems of the Type Diluent Polar Solvent-Silica

W. Markowski<sup>a</sup>; K. L. Czapińska<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Medical Academy, Lublin, Poland

**To cite this Article** Markowski, W. and Czapińska, K. L.(1983) 'Effect of Diluent on the Structure of the Adsorption Layer in Systems of the Type Diluent Polar Solvent-Silica', *Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies*, 6: 1, 63 – 71

**To link to this Article:** DOI: 10.1080/01483918308066870

**URL:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01483918308066870>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

EFFECT OF DILUENT ON THE STRUCTURE  
OF THE ADSORPTION LAYER IN SYSTEMS OF  
THE TYPE DILUENT + POLAR SOLVENT-SILICA

W.Markowski and K.L.Czapińska  
Department of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry  
Medical Academy  
Staszica 6 St.  
20-082 Lublin, Poland

ABSTRACT

The adsorption behaviour of solvents whose molecules differ in polarity, functional groups and shape and the effect of the less polar diluent was investigated. The surface excess was determined for various combinations of diluents and polar solvents using the modified thin-layer frontal chromatography technique.

INTRODUCTION

Adsorption in liquid-solid systems is important not only from the viewpoint of the phenomenon itself, but also owing to its fundamental role in the description of disperse systems /1/. Differences in the opinions about the orientation of molecules in the adsorption layer and

its structure are reflected by the use of various models in the theory of retention mechanism in liquid chromatography /2-4/. Recently, Ościk et al./5/ concluded that ketones form multilayers when adsorbed from heptane solutions and monomolecular layers in benzene solutions. Therefore, it seemed worthwhile to investigate the adsorption behaviour of other solvents whose molecules differ in polarity, functional groups and shape; the effect of the less polar diluent was also investigated. For this purpose, the surface excess  $\Gamma_1^{/n/}$  was determined for various combinations of diluents and polar solvents, using the modified thin-layer frontal chromatography technique /6/.

### EXPERIMENTAL

The chromatographic experiments were carried out according to the procedure described in an earlier paper /6/ with a recently introduced modification /7/.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Four polar solvent of class B and AB according to Pimentel and McClellan and belonging to various homologous series were chosen: diethyl ether, diethyl ketone, methyl ethyl ketone and n-pentanol-1. The four solvents

have similar molar volumes. As diluent, both nonpolar /heptane, hexane, cyclohexane, decalin/ as well as weakly polar solvents were chosen /benzene, chloroform, methylene chloride/. The point of the excess isotherm was determined for 1 M concentration of the polar solvent which should correspond in most cases to monomolecular coverage. If the monomolecular adsorption model is correct / $t = 1$ /, then the molar fraction of the solvent in the adsorption layer should not exceed unity / $X_1 \leq 1$ /. The value of  $X_1$  was calculated from Everett's equation:

Assuming  $t = 1$  we have

$$X_1^\sigma = \frac{X_1^1 + a_{i1}^0 \Gamma_1 / n}{1 - a_{i1}^0 - a_{ij}^0 / \Gamma_1 / n} \quad /1/$$

where  $a_{i,j}^0$  are the areas covered by the given molecules in the monolayer

$\Gamma_1 / n$  - surface excess concentration

$t$  - number of layers

$X_1^1$  - molar fraction of "i" in the bulk phase.

If the calculations for given values of  $a_{i,j}^0$  result in  $X_1^\sigma > 1$  then the assumption of monomolecular adsorption was false. For many simple systems the condition  $t = 1$  is fulfilled, however, for others  $t > 1$  is required.

The surface excess values obtained are given in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Values of the surface excess  $n_1^{\sigma}/v$  for some solvent systems at  $C_1^1 = 1 \text{ ML}^{-1}$ . Adsorbent: silica gel,  $a_s = 500 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$

Diluent	Di-ethyl ether	Di-ethyl ketone	Methyl-propyl ketone	n-pentanol-1
Heptane	0.94	1.59	1.95	0.83
Hexane	0.82	0.99	1.33	0.80
Cyclohexane	0.66	1.10	1.16	0.71
Benzene	0.46	0.76	0.94	0.64
Decalin	1.00	1.14	1.32	0.81
Carbon tetra-chloride	0.51	0.67	0.81	0.55
Dichloromethane	0.31	0.33	0.49	0.57
Chloroform	0.27	0.32	0.42	0.53

The calculations according to eq.1 should be preceded by the choice of the values of the molecular areas  $a_{i,j}^0$ . Two methods were applied in the present study. In the first the calculation were based on the formula proposed by McClellan and Harnsberg /8/

$$\omega_{ads} = 2.567 \cdot v^{0,687} - 10.336$$

To calculate the molecular area from this formula it is sufficient to know the molar volume of the component:  $\omega_{liq}$  denotes the area of the molecule assuming spherical shape and dense hexagonal packing. In the second method the molecules were considered as cylinders of cross-section area equal to  $0.22 \text{ nm}^2$  /calculated from molecular parameters; Van der Waals radii etc./ and length proportional to the chain length, in accordance with the equation

$$0.22 \times H \times N_A = \bar{V} \quad /3/$$

where  $N$  is the length of the cylinder,  $N_A$  - Avogadro number and  $\bar{V}$  - molar volume.

The areas of 1 mole of adsorbate calculated by the two methods are given in Table 2 for flat and perpendicular orientation relative to the adsorbent surface.

From the molecular excess values  $n_i^{\sigma}/v/$  /assuming that  $n_i^{\sigma}/v/ = n_i^{\sigma}/n/$  and specific surface area of silica equal to  $500 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$ / the molar fraction of the polar component in the adsorption layer was calculated for various possible values of  $a_{i,j}^0$ , /relative to 1 mole/.

TABLE 2

Areas occupied by one mole of molecules in the monolayer  $a_{i,j}^0, \times 10^5 \text{ m}^2\text{mol}^{-1}$

Solvent	$a_{i,j}^0$ calculated		
	from /eq.2/	for cylindrical shape	
		flat orientation	vertical orientation
Heptane	3.73	3.29	1.27
Hexane	3.29	2.82	1.27
Cyclohexane	2.93	2.05	1.40
Benzene	2.49	2.05	1.40
Decalin	3.88	4.10	2.80
Carbon tetra-chloride	2.67		
Dichloromethane	1.89		
Chloroform	2.29		
Di-ethyl ether	2.84	2.35	1.48
Di-ethyl ketone	2.88	2.46	1.48
Methyl-propyl ketone	2.89	2.69	1.48
n-Pentanol-1	2.95	2.67	1.27

TABLE 3

a. Molar fraction  $X_1^{\sigma}$  of polar solvents in the adsorption layer /calculated for  $a_1^0, a_j^0$  from eq./2// assuming monolayer adsorption / $t = 1$ /

Diluent	Di-ethyl ether	Di-ethyl ketone	Methyl-propyl ketone	n-pentanol-1
Heptane	0.72	1.04	1.20	0.67
Hexane	0.62	0.72	0.91	0.62
Cyclohexane	0.49	0.74	0.78	0.53
Benzene	0.33	0.50	0.60	0.43
Decalin	0.76	0.84	0.93	0.67
Carbon tetra-chloride	0.38	0.47	0.55	0.40
Dichloromethane	0.20	0.21	0.28	0.32
Chloroform	0.21	0.24	0.29	0.35

b. Molar fraction  $X_1^{\sigma}$  of polar solvent in the adsorption layer /calculated for cylindrical molecular shape and flat adsorption of polar solvent and vertical adsorption the diluent/

Diluent	Di-ethyl ether	Di-ethyl ketone	Methyl propyl ketone	n-pentanol-1
Heptane	0.73	1.50	2.66	0.76
Hexane	0.62	0.80	1.35	0.71
Cyclohexane	0.48	0.85	1.04	0.59
Benzene	0.33	0.55	0.79	0.51
Decalin	0.57	0.66	0.83	0.57

c. As in b/ calculated for cylindrical molecule shape and flat adsorption of polar solvent and flat adsorption the diluent

Diluent	Di-ethyl ether	Di-ethyl ketone	Methyl-propyl ketone	n-pentanol-1
Heptane	0.49	0.73	0.96	0.53
Hexane	0.48	0.57	0.81	0.54
Cyclohexane	0.44	0.49	0.66	0.53
Benzene	0.31	0.49	0.68	0.47
Dekaline	0.46	0.52	0.62	0.42

The molar fractions calculated for various assumptions are given in Table 3 a,b,c.

The simplified procedure /various geometrical models of molecules/ is commonly used when no general solution is available; it may provide approximate information about the role of the investigated parameters in the phenomena studied.

It follows from the calculations /Table 3a/ that the use of molecular areas based on eq.2 results in molar fractions larger than unity for methyl-n-propyl ketone and in some cases also for diethyl ketone. This seems to indicate that the monomolecular model of adsorption is not valid in these cases. On the other hand, the molar fraction of ether is smaller than unity for all diluents which is in accordance with the monomolecular adsorption model.

In the second model cylindrical shape of the molecules was assumed. In the case of polar solvents flat adsorption was assumed while for diluents /hexane, heptane, benzene, cyclohexane, dkaline/ two extreme cases i.e., vertical or flat adsorption were considered. The results are presented in Table 3b,c. Flat adsorption of polar solvent and vertical adsorption of the diluent results in data which can be interpreted by multilayer adsorption /mole fraction smaller than unity is obtained for  $t > 1/$ . On the other hand, assumption of flat



configurations for both polar and nonpolar molecules leads to calculated values of  $X_1^G$  which are in agreement with the monomolecular adsorption model.

The conclusions emphasize the role of estimated sitting areas of adsorbed molecules. For instance, the results indicating bilayer adsorption in ketone solutions and monolayer adsorption in ether solutions may lead to explication of some anomalies in the behaviour of ethers in comparison to ketones when used as eluents in liquid-solid adsorption chromatography. Owing to the limited number of experimental data analysed, only general conclusions could be formulated.

#### Acknowledgement

We wish to thank Dr. Edward Soczewiński for comment on the manuscript prior to submission.

#### REFERENCES

1. Everett, D.H., Thermodynamic of adsorption from non-aqueous solutions, Progr. Colloid and Polymer Sci. 65, 103 /1978/.
2. Soczewiński, E., Solvent composition effects in liquid-solid systems, J. Chromatogr., 130, 23 /1977/.
3. Snyder, L.R., Poppe, H., Mechanism of solute retention in liquid-solid chromatography and the role of the mobile phase in affecting separation, Competition versus Sorption, J. Chromatogr., 184, 363 /1980/.

4. Martire, D.E., Boehm, R.E., Molecular theory of liquid adsorption chromatography, *J.Liquid Chromatogr.*, 3, 753 /1980/.
5. Uścik, J., Goworek, J., Mechanism of adsorption of aliphatic ketones from binary solutions in n-heptane and benzene on silica gel, *Polish J.Chem.*, 52,1781 /1978/
6. Markowski, W., Soczewiński, E., Czapińska, L.K., Estimation of adsorption layer capacity by sandwich thin-layer chromatography, *J.Liquid Chromatogr.*, 2, 1261 /1979/
7. Markowski, W., Czapińska, L.K., Poppe, H., Application of sandwich thin-layer chromatography to the evaluation of adsorption isotherms in liquid-solid systems, submitted to publication.
8. McClellan, A.L., Harnsberger, H.F., Cross-sectional areas of molecules adsorbed on solid surfaces, *J.Colloid Inter.Sci.*, 23, 577 /1967/.